



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,242	12/01/2000	Christopher Cosgrove Creagan	13451	2514
23556	7590	08/11/2004	EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/728,242

Applicant(s)

CREAGAN ET AL.

Examiner

C. Lynne Anderson

Art Unit

3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 7-10, 12, 14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahr et al. (H1298) in view of Matthews et al. (4,397,644).

Ahr discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the thermoplastic fibers being crimped.

With respect to claims 1, 9, 16, 17, and 19, Ahr discloses an expandable absorbent material, consisting essentially of superabsorbent and thermoplastic fibers, as described in column 2, lines 29-32. The superabsorbent is present in an amount from 5% to 95%, as disclosed in column 2, lines 29-30. The thermoplastic fibers are present in an amount from 5% to 95%, as disclosed in column 2, lines 31-32. The absorbent material has a density of between 0.08 g/cc and 0.25 g/cc, as disclosed in column 3, lines 38-40. The absorbent material is fully capable of functioning as a surge material or retention material.

Matthews teaches the use of crimped thermoplastic fibers in an absorbent article to provide the article with additional comfort, as disclosed in column 5, lines 51-54.

Art Unit: 3761

It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the thermoplastic fibers of Ahr crimped, as taught by Matthews, to provide additional comfort.

With respect to claims 4, 18, and 20, the thermoplastic fibers are comprised of polyolefin, as disclosed in column 2, line 52.

With respect to claims 7 and 8, the absorbent material further comprises a binder, as disclosed in column 3, lines 3-4. The binder is a liquid, as disclosed in column 3, lines 6-7.

With respect to claim 10, the superabsorbent, as described in column 3, lines 1-7, has an electret charge.

With respect to claims 12 and 14, the absorbent material is incorporated into a personal care product. The personal care product is a feminine hygiene product, as disclosed in column 4, line 66 to column 3, lines 41-42.

Claims 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahr et al. (H1298) in view of Matthews et al. (4,397,644) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mukaida et al. (5,672,419).

Ahr discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of fibers having a side by side conjugate configuration. Mukaida discloses thermoplastic fibers comprising a polyolefin and poly (vinyl alcohol) in a side by side conjugate configuration, as described in column 5, lines 23-35. These thermoplastic fibers provide improved shape retaining properties, as disclosed in column 5, lines 41-43. It would therefore be

Art Unit: 3761

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct the absorbent material of Ahr with the thermoplastic fibers of Mukaida, in order to provide improved shape retaining properties.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahr et al. (H1298) in view of Matthews et al. (4,397,644) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of McDowall et al. (6,362,389).

Ahr, as modified by Matthews, discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of elastic fibers. McDowall discloses an absorbent material comprising superabsorbent and thermoplastic fibers for use in personal care products, as described in column 5, lines 44-52. The thermoplastic fibers are elastic, which provide the material with greater absorption and improved comfort to the wearer, as disclosed in column 2, lines 5-10. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct the absorbent material of Ahr with elastic thermoplastic fibers, as taught by McDowall, to provide the material with greater absorption and improved comfort to the wearer.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahr et al. (H1298) in view of Matthews et al. (4,397,644) as applied to claim 1 above.

Ahr discloses all aspects of the claimed invention but remains silent as to the expandability of the absorbent material. Ahr discloses superabsorbent material that is well-known in the art to swell upon contact with liquid, but remains silent as to the extent

Art Unit: 3761

of the swelling. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have the material of Ahr expandable by at least 50%, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahr et al. (H1298) in view of Matthews et al. (4,397,644) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Jackson et al. (5,350,370).

Ahr discloses all aspects of the claimed invention but remains silent as to absorbent articles other than feminine hygiene products. Jackson discloses diapers, adult incontinence products, and feminine hygiene products to be art-recognized equivalent structures, as described in column 1, lines 15-17. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute diapers and adult incontinence products for the personal care product disclosed by Ahr.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4 April 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ahr as modified by Matthews.

Art Unit: 3761

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLA

cla
August 3, 2004


JOHN S. CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700